Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,786	SUZUKI ET AL.	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karin M. Reichle	3761		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

requirer	endment document filed on <u>28 January 2008</u> is considered non-onents of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment documes required.		
	DLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT D 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	OOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:	
	Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other See Continuation Sheet. 			
	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all per C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper stat of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of number by using one of the following status identifiers (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdraw D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been pr E. Other: 	us identifier, and as such, the individual status f every claim must be indicated after its claim : (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), who) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).	
\boxtimes	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in acco See Continuation Sheet	ordance with 37 CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:			
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.			
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.			
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if t emendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> actio		
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment amendment.	nent is a non-final amendment or an amendment	
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.	

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 3(c) Other: The sheets with new Figures 15C and 16C and D are not replacement sheets, but rather new sheets and should be properly identified as such. The numbering of all the sheets of Figures has not been changed to reflect the addition of two new sheets. Figures 30A and B have still not been labelled "PRIOR ART".

Continuation of 5 Other: It is further noted that the last two lines of amended claim 2 include numerous grammatical errors, that claims as now amended appear to be redundant, compare, e.g., claims 24 and 26, and that claims as now amended do not appear to be supported/enabled by the portions of the specification set forth on page 23 of the response. With regard to the latter, where is it supported that a surface sheet which only partially covers in the longitudinal direction provides the function of the section added to the end of claim 1? Furthermore, since the lateral surfaces of the absorber include lateralmost edges of the upper surface, how can the liquid be absorbed by the lateral surface, i.e. including the upper surface, without being absorbed by the upper surface as now claimed in the section added to the end of claim 1? On page 39, line 21, all occurrences of "Air Laid" have not been amended.